

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,003	01/18/2002	Patrick C. Marks	9319	7774
75	12/13/2002			
William W. Habelt Carrier Corporation P.O. Box 4800 Syracuse, NY 13221			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TU MINH	TU MINH
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	7_
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	T

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 10/051,003 Applicant(s)

Marks et al.

Examiner

Tu M. Nguyen

Art Unit 3748



THE F	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There reject allow:	REPLY FILED Nov 25, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final ion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ex ap se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally t in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
	issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛭	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is
	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise.
6.□	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
6.□	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an
6.□	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
6.□	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12
6.□	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11
6.□	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
6.□ 7.፟ 8.□	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11
6.□	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.
6. □ 7. ☒ 8. □ 9. □	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In an operational view point, volume (9) of Nakachi et al. is operated continuously (even when the valve (15) is closed) to attenuate engine noise. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Part of Paper No. 7